### CEMENTING TECHNIQUES DURING ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY: ACHIEVING A UNIFORM CEMENT MANTLE WITH THE RIGHT THICKNESS

<sup>1</sup> Rajshree Mootanah, <sup>1</sup>Steeve Lamvohee and <sup>1,2</sup>John Dowell

<sup>1</sup>Medical Engineering Research Group, Faculty of Science and Technology, Anglia Ruskin University, Essex, UK

<sup>2</sup>Mid-Essex Hospitals Trust, Essex, UK; email: <u>rajshree.mootanah@anglia.ac.uk</u>, web: <u>www.anglia.ac.uk/medeng</u>

# INTRODUCTION

Currently, PMMA bone cement is the most widely used material to secure acetabular cups to the hemi pelvic bone in cemented hip replacements. Achieving a uniform cement mantle with the right thickness during orthopaedic surgery is important for the long-term stability of bone and joint reconstructions [1]. However, it is challenging to achieve this during surgery due to the cup bottoming out. Some acetabular cups with 3 mm cement spacers exist on the market. However, the optimum cement mantle thickness is not always 3mm [1]. Moreover, these cups Acetabular cups with polyethylene spacers were found to have a significantly higher initial rate of failure when compared with cups without cement spacers [2]. Although bone cement is reasonably strong in compression, it is a relatively brittle material, making it susceptible to fracture as a result of tensile loads [3]. We investigated the material properties of Palacos bone cement following our new technique to produce uniform cement mantles of pre-defined thicknesses to ensure that our technique for producing uniform cement mantle did not affect the strength of the bone cement.

# METHODS

Bone cement samples were vacuum mixed at  $23\pm1^{0}$ C and prepared, with and without spacers. The spacers were spherical in shape with a diameter of  $3\pm0.1$  mm. Four points bending, tensile and compressive tests were carried out according to British Standards Specifications [4,5], using Hounsfield and Instron Testing machines. Deflections were measured, using a linear potentiometric displacement transducers. Each cement specimen was stored at  $37^{0}$ C for at least two weeks in a water bath prior to testing in order to achieve a specific moisture concentration of more than 95% [6]. The sample sizes and geometry of specimens are detailed in Table 1.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

There is a reduction of 7.7% and 8.01% (significantly different) in the bending modulus and bending strength of the bone cement specimen, respectively, between groups I and II. However, when the cement spacers are placed away from the central location at 17.5 mm from each end of the specimen, there was a reduction of 2.51% and 1.52% in the bending modulus and bending strength of the bone cement, respectively (not significantly different).

There is a reduction of 1.03% in the compressive strength of the bone cement (not significantly different).

There is a reduction of 30.7% in the tensile strength of the bone cement between Groups I and II (significantly different). However, there is a reduction of only 2.73% in tensile strength between Groups I and III, when the spacers were positioned at 25mm from each end (not significantly different).

benuing, tensne and compressive tests				
	Test	Bending	Tensile test	Compressive
	Protocol	BS ISO 5833	BS EN ISO	BS ISO 5833
			527-1/2	
	Specimen	Rectangular	Gauge length:	Cylindrical
	geometry	75 <u>+</u> .1 x 10 <u>+</u> .1	25 <u>+</u> .5, as per	Dia:6 <u>+</u> 1mm
	in mm	x 3.3 <u>+</u> .1	BS	Ht:12 <u>+</u> 1mm
	Sample	I: n= 16	I: n= 16	I: n=20
	groups	No spacer	No spacer	No spacer
		II: n=16	II: n= 16	
		1 spacer in the	1 spacer in the	
		centre	centre	
		III: n=16	III: n=16	II: n=20
		2 spacers, at	2 spacers, at	1 spacer
		17.5 mm from	25 mm from	positioned
		each end	each end	centrally
	Results	Bending	Compressive	Tensile
	(MPa)	Modulus (SD)	strength (SD)	strength (SD)
	(1.11 4)			
	(1.11 4)	Bending		
	(1.11 4)	Bending Strength (SD)		
		Bending Strength (SD) Group I	Group I	Group I
		Bending Strength (SD) Group I 3180 (218)	Group I 106.4 (2.57)	Group I 43.9 (2.44)
		Bending Strength (SD) Group I 3180 (218) 72.4 (2.7)	Group I 106.4 (2.57)	Group I 43.9 (2.44)
	(	Bending Strength (SD) Group I 3180 (218) 72.4 (2.7) Group II	Group I 106.4 (2.57)	Group I 43.9 (2.44) Group II
	(	Bending Strength (SD) Group I 3180 (218) 72.4 (2.7) Group II 2935 (243)	Group I 106.4 (2.57)	Group I 43.9 (2.44) Group II 30.4 (4.17)
		Bending Strength (SD) Group I 3180 (218) 72.4 (2.7) Group II 2935 (243) 66.6 (2.36)	Group I 106.4 (2.57)	Group I 43.9 (2.44) Group II 30.4 (4.17)
		Bending Strength (SD) Group I 3180 (218) 72.4 (2.7) Group II 2935 (243) 66.6 (2.36) Group III	Group I 106.4 (2.57) Group II	Group I 43.9 (2.44) Group II 30.4 (4.17) Group III
		Bending Strength (SD) Group I 3180 (218) 72.4 (2.7) Group II 2935 (243) 66.6 (2.36) Group III 3100 (312)	Group I 106.4 (2.57) Group II 105.3 (2.83)	Group I 43.9 (2.44) Group II 30.4 (4.17) Group III 42.7 (2.52)
		Bending Strength (SD) Group I 3180 (218) 72.4 (2.7) Group II 2935 (243) 66.6 (2.36) Group III 3100 (312) 71.3 (2.86)	Group I 106.4 (2.57) Group II 105.3 (2.83)	Group I 43.9 (2.44) Group II 30.4 (4.17) Group III 42.7 (2.52)

 Table 1: Details of specimens and results of four-points

 banding tangile and compressive tests

## CONCLUSIONS

Results of this study suggest that our new technique could be used in cemented hip surgeries to help surgeons achieve an even thickness of cement mantle. If the spacers are positioned in regions of low stress concentration, avoiding the posterior superior location, a uniform cement mantle can be achieved, without affecting the mechanical properties of Palacos bone cement, hence maintaining a stable fixation.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support of Heraeus Medical.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Lamvohee, JMS, et al., *Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin.*, in press, 2008.
- 2. Faris M, et al., J Arthroplasty. 21(2):191-8, 2006.
- 3. Harper EJ, Bonfield W. J. Biomed Mater Res. 53(5): 605-616, 2000.
- 4. BS ISO 5833. International organization for standardization, Switzerland, 2002
- 5. BS EN ISO 527-1/2. International organization for standardization, Switzerland, 1996
- 6.Soltesz U, J Mater Sci Mater Med 1994; 5: 654-656