PATELLOFEMORAL CONTACT LOAD AS A FUNCTION OF THE TIBIAL TUBERCLE MEDIAL-LATERAL POSITION

¹ Jacobus H. Müller, ¹Cornie Scheffer, ²Pieter Erasmus, ^{2, 3} Edwin Dillon and ⁴Alex Elvin

¹Department of Mechanical and Mechatronic Engineering, Stellenbosch University

²Knee Clinic, Stellenbosch Medi-Clinic

³ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Stellenbosch University

⁴Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of the Witwatersrand

INTRODUCTION

Excessive medial-lateral patellofemoral contact pressure can be alleviated by modifying the position of the tibial tubercle [1]. In this presentation the focus falls on the effect that the tibial tubercle's position in the coronal plane has on: patellofemoral kinematics and kinetics, as well as its effect on patellar tendon and medial patellofemoral ligament load.

METHODS

A volunteer-specific model is setup in the LifeMOD environment (LifeModeler Inc., San Clemente, California, USA) as described previously [2]. In short, Computer Aided Design (CAD) models of the volunteers' lower body are generated from Computed Tomography and Magnetic Resonance Imaging scans. These are then supplemented with muscle-tendon elements and ligaments. The model is manipulated through volunteer-specific motion data. The model has been validated for the case where the volunteer's body motion is simulated as he takes a seat [2].

Three cases were simulated for: 1) the tibial tubercle in the neutral position (PT_{neut}), 2) the tibial tubercle displaced 5 mm laterally (PT_{lat}), and 3) the tibial tubercle displaced 5 mm medially (PT_{med}). Before each simulation the model was allowed to equilibrate. The quadriceps muscles pre-tension was kept constant for each case (411 N) and the loading distribution was as follows: Vastus Lateralis:Rectus Femoris:Vastus Medialis = 2.5:3:2 [1].

Patellar medial-lateral shift and tilt, patellofemoral contact load and patellar tendon (PT) load and medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) load were compared for PT_{lat} and PT_{med} as opposed to PT_{neat} . The values for each case were normalized by applying equation 1 and 2.

Eq. 1	1:	Property _{case,i}	= Property _{case,i} –	Property _{case,min} + 1
-------	----	----------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------------

Eq. 2: With	Property _{case,i}	$= \frac{\text{Property}_{case,i}}{/\text{Property}_{nsutral,i}}$
	Property	Patellofemoral kinematical measure or load
	Case	Neutral / medial / lateral patellar tendon.
	i	Indices of current value.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effect of PT_{lat} and PT_{med} as compared to PT_{neut} is considered below (Table 1). The resultant patellofemoral load was smaller for knee flexion $<50^{\circ}$ for both cases. For knee flexion $>60^{\circ}$ the lateral patellofemoral load component was greater for PT_{lat} but it was smaller for PT_{med} .

Patellar lateral shift for PT_{lat} was larger for knee flexion $<30^{\circ}$ and smaller for knee flexion $>30^{\circ}$. Patellar lateral shift was larger for PT_{med} for knee flexion $>50^{\circ}$. Lateral tilt was smaller for both cases between 0° and 90° knee flexion.

PT load was greater between 0° and 60° knee flexion and smaller for knee flexion >60° for PT_{lat}, while PT load was smaller for PT_{med} between 0° and 90° knee flexion. MPFL load was larger between 0° and 35° knee flexion and smaller from 35° onwards for PT_{lat}, while the MPFL load was smaller between 0° and 35° knee flexion and larger from 35° onwards for PT_{med}.

CONCLUSIONS

The results presented here are only based on the one volunteer's patellofemoral joint. For the PT_{med} case, the medial-lateral patellofemoral load component will decrease at deep knee flexion while it will increase by one and a half for PT_{lat} . This result is similar to the results obtained by changing the Q-angle [3]: A larger Q-angle will increase the lateral component. The results show that the MPFL might be overloaded if the tibial tubercle is shifted medially.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A special word of thanks to Dr. Van Wagening and Partners for their assistance with the MRI and CT scans and to the volunteer for being willing to take part in the study.

REFERENCES

- 1. Shirazi-Adl A, et al., *Clinical Biomechanics*, **22**:344-351, 2007.
- 2. Müller JH, et al., XXII Congress of the International Society of Biomechanics, 2009
- 3. Elias JJ, et al., *Journal of Biomechanics*, **37**:295-302, 2004.

Table 1: Effect of the laterally placed tibial tubercle and medially placed tibial tubercle on patellofemoral joint behaviourPropertyKnee flexion angle, $^{\circ}$ PT_{lat} , (SD) PT_{med} , (SD)

		= - Idt $f(=)$	= - meu $(=)$
Patellofemoral contact load (resultant)	0	-15.4%	-57%
Patellofemoral contact load (medial-lateral)	90	+57.0 %	-14.5%
Patellar medial-lateral shift	0 and 90	+27.2% and -9.0%	
	50 to 90		+15% (2.72)
Patellar medial-lateral tilt	0 to 90	-23% (SD = 7.9)	-11% (SD = 5.91)
Patellar tendon load	0 and 90	+16.7% and -9%	-6.5% and -40%
Medial patellofemoral ligament load	0 and 90	+33.5% and -9%	-40% and +138%